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Bone scintigraphy is one of the most common investigations performed in nuclear medicine and is used routinely in the evaluation of patients with cancer for suspected bone metastases and in various benign musculoskeletal conditions. Innovations in equipment design and other advances, such as single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), positron emission tomography, positron emission tomography/computed tomography (CT), and SPECT/CT have been incorporated into the investigation of various musculoskeletal diseases. Bone scans frequently show high sensitivity but specificity, which is variable or limited. Some of the limited specificity can be partially addressed by a thorough knowledge and experience of normal variants and common patterns to avoid misinterpretation. In this review, we discuss the common patterns, variants, artifacts, and pitfalls in conventional radionuclide planar, SPECT, and hybrid bone (SPECT/CT) imaging.
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Radionuclide bone scintigraphy is used as a routine screening test for suspected bone metastases in a number of cancers and for the investigation of many benign musculoskeletal conditions because of its sensitivity, low cost, availability, and the ability to scan the entire skeleton.1,2 In recent years technetium-99m (99mTc)-labeled diphosphonates have become the most widely used radiopharmaceuticals [particularly 99mTc methylene diphosphonate (99mTc-MDP)].1,2 Bone scans have high sensitivity, but specificity is frequently variable or limited. Therefore, to increase the specificity of bone scan interpretation, it is important to reduce misinterpretation with a comprehensive knowledge and experience of normal variants and the other patterns, which may mimic metastases or other musculoskeletal pathology.1,6 A relevant clinical history and other patient information may also help avoid misinterpretation. In this review, we discuss the common patterns, variants, artifacts, and pitfalls in radionuclide planar, single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), and hybrid bone imaging (SPECT/computed tomography [CT]).

Scintigraphic Techniques and Instrumentation: Planar, SPECT, SPECT/CT

Previously, 99mTc-MDP bone scans were acquired as multiple spot views of the skeleton but modern multiheaded gamma cameras allow high-resolution, whole-body images of the entire skeleton to be obtained in a short acquisition time. They also have additional features, such as SPECT, allowing increased sensitivity for lesion detection and 3-dimensional localization of abnormalities, which aids specificity.1,4-6 Currently, hybrid technology, such as SPECT/CT provides accurate localization and characterization of equivocal lesions seen on the bone scan.

SPECT Tracers and Mechanisms of Uptake

The tracer 99mTc-MDP is the most widely used bone agent, providing excellent contrast between normal and diseased
bone. $^{99m}$Tc-MDP excretion is primarily renal and 70% of the administered dose is eliminated by 6 hours. In general, uptake of the tracer depends on local blood flow, osteoblastic activity and extraction efficiency. Although the actual mechanism of uptake is still not completely understood, diphosphonates are probably adsorbed onto the hydroxyapatite crystals on the mineralizing bone surfaces.

Bone scans are generally obtained between 2 and 4 hours after injection but in patients with significantly impaired renal function the scans may be performed later to allow better clearance of extra cellular fluid (ECF) and vascular activity.

Scintigraphic Patterns: Planar, SPECT, and SPECT/CT

The limited specificity of radionuclide bone scintigraphy is partly due to accumulation of $^{99m}$Tc-MDP in normal structures or benign processes. A normal bone scan will show a higher concentration of activity in parts of the skeleton, for example, the spine (trabecular bone with large mineralizing bone surface), compared with the shafts of long bones (that are predominantly cortical bone). Renal activity, urinary bladder activity, and minimal soft-tissue activity are also normally present. To obtain optimum contrast in all areas of the skeleton, such a variation in activity may necessitate viewing images at different intensity settings. Of course, increased uptake of radiotracer on a bone scan is not specific for bone metastases, but by studying the pattern and distribution of lesions, it is often possible to infer the etiology of abnormalities without requiring further correlative imaging, although a number of cases will remain indeterminate.

The appearance of a lesion itself may aid interpretation. A single focal rib lesion is often the result of trauma and a lesion...
extending along the length of a rib is usually malignant in nature. However, Baxter et al. have reported that a single rib lesion on a bone scan in a patient with a known malignancy may turn out to have a malignant cause in as many as 41% of patients. Further, focal abnormalities at the anterior ends of ribs (a position in which abnormalities are often considered benign in most cases), were confirmed to be metastases in 36%. However, these findings differ from those reported by Tumeh et al. according to whom only 10% of solitary rib lesions proved to be malignant, and this is much more in keeping with our own experience. In general, a linear array of rib lesions in adjacent ribs is typical for fracture with a traumatic etiology (Fig. 1).

Most bone metastases are distributed irregularly in the axial skeleton and ribs and there is seldom any confusion in this situation. In some cancers, for example, carcinoma of the lung, prostate, kidney, and breast, a small proportion (≤10%) affects the appendicular skeleton.

When bone metastases are extensive and diffuse, a bone scan on first inspection may appear normal due to the confluent nature of the lesions and is often called a “superscan” (so-called because of the apparent good quality of the scan due to diffusely increased skeletal uptake) and has a number of distinguishing features. In addition to the apparent high quality of the scan, the soft tissues, particularly the kidneys, may be inconspicuous or invisible due to the decreased contrast ratio between soft tissue and skeletal accumulation. Severe metabolic bone diseases may also cause a superscan but that caused by malignancy can usually be differentiated due to some irregularity of uptake and indeed more focal abnormality is often present, which is more frequently apparent in the ribs or the ends of the long bones.

An additional and often unexpected finding from scanning the peripheries, particularly in patients with bronchogenic carcinoma, may be the observation of hypertrophic pulmonary osteoarthropathy (HPOA), and this typically appears as symmetrically increased uptake of tracer in the cortices (“tram lines”), most often seen in the femora, tibiae, and wrists (Fig. 2).

### Scintigraphic Variants: Planar and SPECT

#### Head and Neck

In the head and neck region, common normal variants include increased tracer uptake at the confluence of sutures, for example, at the pterion in the skull and at the occipital protuberance (Fig. 3, Table 1). Visualization of the sutures of the skull on a bone scan is often possible in adults with a normal bone scan. However, the uptake in the sutures is reported to be more marked in patients with metabolic bone disease, such as renal osteodystrophy. Increased tracer uptake in the skull may be focal or diffuse. In elderly patients, increased tracer uptake in the skull (frontal region and the calvarium, hyperostosis frontalis interna) is due to thickening of the frontal bones (the internal table). However, diffuse uptake in the calvarium has also been reported to be rarely related to various other causes, including following chemotherapy in cancer patients or in metabolic bone disease. Further, in some patients there may be symmetric or asymmetrical focal photopenia in the parietal region, which is reported to be due to parietal thinning, a finding that has no clinical significance. Finally, focal increased uptake in the mandible is often due to underlying benign dental pathology and increased tracer uptake in the sinuses is frequently due to infection or inflammatory disease.

#### Thorax

The thoracic region includes the sternum, clavicles, scapulae, and ribs. The pattern of tracer uptake in the sternum is variable, and it is important to recognize the normal variants as they can mimic pathology.

| Head and neck | Skull sutures, pterion, occipital protuberance, angle of mandible, hyperostosis frontalis, sinuses (ethmoidal and maxillary), dental disease and microcalfication of thyroid cartilage |
| Thorax | Sternoclavicular joint, acromioclavicular joint, sternal foramina, costochondral uptake, manubrium sternum/xiphisternum, tip of scapulae, symmetrical muscle insertion in the posterior ribs of paraspinal muscles (stippled appearance) |
| Abdomen and pelvis | Kidney, bladder, bladder diverticulae, pelvic diastasis (post partum women) |
| Long bones | Deltoid tuberosity/deltoid insertion, trochanteric bursitis |
Increased tracer uptake at the manubriosternal junction is frequently seen as a normal variant, and symmetric uptake in the sternoclavicular joints is usually due to degenerative disease\textsuperscript{3,16,17} (Fig. 4).

With regard to breast cancer, the sternum is a relatively common site to be affected often as a solitary lesion and probably results from local spread from the involved internal mammary lymph nodes\textsuperscript{4-6,17} If a sternal lesion is situated distant from the manubriosternal junction, is irregular, asymmetric, or eccentric then malignant involvement should be suspected\textsuperscript{4-6} In a retrospective study of patients with breast cancer, 3.1\% presented with an isolated sternal lesion and 76\% of these were found to represent metastatic disease\textsuperscript{18} In general, a lesion suspicious for a malignant pathology is likely to be asymmetrical and when doubtful, further radiological correlation is necessary.

In some patients, a small photopenic defect in the inferior aspect of the sternum due to the incomplete fusion of the cartilaginous bars in the distal sternum is present (more prominent on SPECT images)\textsuperscript{3,19} This photopenic area, which is called sternal foramina, is surrounded by uniformly distributed radioactivity and should not be mistaken for an osteolytic lesion\textsuperscript{3,19} (Fig. 1). A vertical linear area of increased uptake can be seen distal to the sternum. This is often due to benign increased tracer uptake in the xiphisternum. Further, a large vertical linear area of increased uptake in the sternum (sternal split) is seen in patients who have undergone cardiothoracic surgery with sternotomy.

Age-related and degenerative disease is often seen as symmetric tracer uptake in the periarticular regions (acromioclavicular and sternoclavicular joints).\textsuperscript{3} A focal area of increased uptake of tracer is sometimes noted in the proximal/mid humeri at the site of insertion of muscle at the deltidoid tuberosity. Occasionally, the tip of the scapula overlying a rib may mimic a focal abnormality. Therefore, it is useful to take an

---

**Figure 4** (A) Increased uptake of tracer in the manubriosternal junction, (B) increased tracer uptake in the sternoclavicular joint bilaterally, (C) increased tracer uptake in the thyroid cartilage.

**Figure 5** Bone scan shows multiple horizontal linear pattern of increased tracer accumulation in the spine due to osteoporotic fractures.
extra view with the arms raised, thereby moving the tip of the scapula outside the line of the ribs.

Vertebrae

The interpretation of focal accumulation in the spine, whether solitary or multiple, is problematic as there is a high prevalence of degenerative disease, particularly in the elderly, which may be indistinguishable from bone metastases without further radiological assessment and correlation. A single spinal hot spot on a bone scan is often difficult to characterize and in patients with a known primary tumor, Coakley et al found that just over one-half

**Figure 6** (A) Vertebral metastasis: increased uptake of tracer in the body of the vertebra, which corresponds to a sclerotic lesion on the CT scan; (B) osteophyte: increased tracer uptake in the body of the vertebra corresponds to an osteophyte on the CT scan, indicating benign disease; (C) end plate degenerative disease: increased tracer uptake in the body of the vertebra corresponds to end plate degenerative changes on the CT scan, indicating benign disease; (D) facet joint disease: increased tracer uptake in the vertebra, which corresponds to left facet joint on the CT scan; and (E) osteophyte: increased tracer uptake in the spinous process of the vertebra, which corresponds to an osteophyte in the spinous process on the CT scan.

**Figure 7** Femoral artery calcification: increased tracer uptake in the femoral artery bilaterally, which corresponds to the calcification on the CT scan.
(57%) turned out to be benign on subsequent clinical and imaging follow-up.

Vertebral body fractures have a characteristic appearance on bone scintigraphy, showing a horizontal linear pattern of increased tracer accumulation. However, it is usually not possible to differentiate fractures due to benign diseases, such as osteoporosis from malignant collapse. In such cases, further evaluation with magnetic resonance imaging is often the most informative.4-6

However, multiple linear abnormalities of varying intensity favor a benign etiology with presumed osteoporotic fracture occurring at different time points (Fig. 5). Also, a follow-up bone scan after a few months that shows reducing activity at a vertebral fracture site suggests a benign cause and a healing fracture. On the conventional whole-body planar scan, it is often difficult to localize and characterize a vertebral lesion.20-22 SPECT images are useful in delineating the body, pedicles, and spinous process. For example, lesions that extend from the vertebral body into the posterior vertebral elements or involve the pedicle are more likely to represent metastases than lesions confined to the facet joints, anterior vertebral body, or either side of a disc5,20-22 (Fig. 6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Common Artifacts in Bone Scintigraphy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Radiopharmaceutical</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free pertechnetate (stomach, thyroid, salivary glands)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Technical</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection site, lymph node (radiotracer extravasations), injection into central venous catheter, arterial injection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine contamination, patient motion, breast prosthesis, metallic prosthesis (elbow, shoulder, knee and hip)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metallic</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belt buckle, medallion, jewellery, pace maker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Instrumentation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photomultiplier tube, cobalt peak, image contrast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treatment</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postradiotherapy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8  (A) Free pertechnetate: increased tracer accumulation in the stomach and the thyroid gland due to excessive free pertechnetate in the 99mTc-MDP; Artifacts: (B) photon-deficient areas in the left chest wall (pendant), (C) in the neck (necklace), and (D) photon-deficient area in the lateral aspect of the left chest wall (pace-maker).

Figure 9 Wrong energy setting: (A) the anterior image shows symmetric uptake of tracer bilaterally and (B) on the posterior images the bones are poorly visualized and this appearances were due to wrong energy setting (cobalt).
### Table 3 Causes of Artifacts on CT\textsuperscript{44-53}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Artifacts</th>
<th>Causes</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motion</td>
<td>● When object of interest is moved during the scan</td>
<td>● Local blurring of contours as well as disturbances in the whole image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Voluntary: respiration, body movement (external) and swallowing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Involuntary: beating heart, peristalsis, coughing, and sneezing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam hardening</td>
<td>● The polychromatic nature of the x-ray beam as it leaves the x-ray tube is attenuated differently, depending on x-ray energy and object type. This will preferentially eliminate lower-energy photons from the beam</td>
<td>● Often seen as dark zones or streaks between bone structures, particularly in the vicinity of the base of the skull</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scattered radiation</td>
<td>● The design of the scanner (amount of collimation in the detectors)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Characteristics in the patient</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● FOV size</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ring</td>
<td>● Poor calibration of detectors</td>
<td>Intensely bright or dark circular ring within the image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Drift in uniformity sensitivity of detectors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial volume effects</td>
<td>● Structures that only extend partially into the slice</td>
<td>Dark and light streak artifacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● When anatomy changes quickly, and the scan uses a slice thickness which is too wide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling</td>
<td>● Partial-volume effects that occur in the scan plane</td>
<td>Streaking at transitions with high contrast, for example, bone or metal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● In some scanning angles, not all the object is within the FOV</td>
<td>Hyperdense areas seen adjacent to the section outside the FOV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truncation</td>
<td>● In some scanning angles, not all the object is within the FOV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4 Causes of Artifacts on SPECT/CT\textsuperscript{44-53}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Artifacts</th>
<th>Causes</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Misregistration</td>
<td>● Poor calibration of the relative position of the modalities’ isocenters,</td>
<td>Misregistration artifacts will be most apparent at the boundaries of organs/structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Change in the isocenter due to couch movement or sagging</td>
<td>Localisation becomes confused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Change in the SPECT center of rotation for example with heavy high energy collimators</td>
<td>Misapplication of attenuation correction data may over or under correct the SPECT data and so mimic the appearance of uptake defects or an underlying pathology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Patient movement (voluntary or involuntary)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiration</td>
<td>● Patient continues with normal shallow respiration during the CT and SPECT</td>
<td>CT movement artifacts around the diaphragm, but the overall position and shape of the internal organs will better match that of the averaged respiration position of the SPECT scan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Patient holds breath for CT but breaths for SPECT</td>
<td>Positional differences between SPECT and CT in the lungs, heart and around the diaphragm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truncation</td>
<td>● The FOV is too small or the patient too large</td>
<td>Hyperdense areas on CT seen adjacent to the section outside the FOV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Patient arms extend outside selected FOV.</td>
<td>Streaking artifacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Likely if patient can not raise arms out of FOV for the duration of a SPECT/CT scan)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly attenuating</td>
<td>● Metal pins, joints and/or fillings</td>
<td>Low photon count areas of the projections, and their associated higher noise, cause major streaking and an inaccurate attenuation coefficient measurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foreign bodies</td>
<td>● Contrast agents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT noise</td>
<td>● Large patient</td>
<td>Low photon count leading to noise which is amplified during reconstruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Low dose CT settings</td>
<td>Errors in the defining CT number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thick CT slices</td>
<td>● Limitations of the equipment</td>
<td>Potential loss of visibility of smaller details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Incorrect reconstruction parameters</td>
<td>Stair step slices in the craniocaudal direction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There is no doubt that SPECT improves lesion detection in the posterior elements of the vertebra, but its superiority for characterizing the pathology in the body of the vertebra is less evident and SPECT/CT is likely to show incremental benefit.

**Long Bones and Knees**

In the long bones, focal areas of increased tracer uptake are often seen at the sites of repeated stress (eg, the site of the patella tendon insertion at the tibial tuberosity). Increased tracer uptake in the patellae (hot patella sign) is a common finding on the bone scan and may be seen in association with a wide variety of disorders. However, this "sign" cannot be considered of diagnostic value, as although often due to degenerative disease, other causes, such as Paget disease and osteomyelitis have been reported in isolated cases.

In the elderly, calcification of the arteries (most commonly involving the femoral arteries), can be seen on the bone scan (Fig. 7), although the clinical significance of this finding is unknown.

**Abdomen and Pelvis**

Bladder and renal collecting system activity are usually seen routinely on the bone scan and patients should void before scanning. In post-partum females, increased stress reaction/pelvic diastases can lead to increased tracer uptake in the pubic symphysis and possibly the sacroiliac joints. Asymmetrical uptake in the ischium/ischial tuberosity should be interpreted with some caution in patients with prostate cancer as focal increased uptake could represent a bone metastasis or could have a benign explanation, such as muscle origin injury (semitendinosus, semimembranosus and long head of biceps femoris muscle-hamstring group). In most cases, radiological correlation can be useful, particularly if magnetic resonance imaging is already being performed to assess the prostate gland and it is possible that SPECT/CT will help clarify this type of problem.

**Pitfalls in Radionuclide Bone Scintigraphy**

Aggressive or purely lytic metastases may not generate a visible osteoblastic response and may appear as a purely cold lesion that is difficult to identify on a routine whole-body bone scan, a phenomenon that most commonly occurs in malignancies, such as myeloma and it is generally accepted that the bone scan is not an ideal technique for evaluation of patients with myeloma.

Radionuclide bone scintigraphy is often useful in the assessment of treatment response. However, if the bone scan is performed very soon after treatment, it may be difficult to distinguish a flare response from tumor progression. The flare response is a well-recognized phenomenon on the bone scan and shows a transient increase in tracer uptake in responding metastases due to a local osteoblastic reaction in bone in the early months after therapy (chemotherapy/hormone therapy) for breast and prostate cancer, and pre-
sumably other tumors, which may be indistinguishable from progressive disease. A flare response may last for as long as 6 months after therapy.4-6,27-30

Radionuclide bone scintigraphy may give false-positive results in patients who have undergone recent surgery, such as knee or hip replacements. Therefore, deferring the procedure to a later date should be considered, but if performed earlier, then caution in interpretation is required.

**Artifacts on Radionuclide Planar Bone Scintigraphy**

Artefacts on bone scintigraphy can be technical or patient-related2,3,6,11,29-34 (Table 2). The technical artifacts include equipment, radiopharmaceutical, and image processing-related problems35-41 (Figs. 8 and 9). Equipment-related artifacts may be due to inadequate quality-control procedures and calibration.31-34 Faulty radiopharmaceutical preparation alters biodistribution and can compromise the diagnostic quality of the images.3,35-41 Increased tracer uptake in the stomach, thyroid, and salivary glands can be seen if there is free pertechnetate, in the radiopharmaceutical.36 A number of factors, for example, presence of reduced aluminum ions,3 if the radiopharmaceutical is left unused for a long time, inappropriately high pH and addition of dextrose solutions,39 may affect uptake of radioactivity in bone.

Finally, the most common artifact on the bone scan is due to extravasation at the site of injection, this may occasionally cause confusion with a bone abnormality, and it is therefore important to document the site of injection in all patients. Further, ipsilateral lymph node(s) may be seen due to extravasation of radiotracer41 and can on occasion cause confusion, particularly if overlying the scapula or a rib.

**Cold Spots on a Bone Scan**

Photon-deficient areas commonly seen on the bone scan are due to metallic objects, such as jewellery, pacemakers, coins, belts, breast prosthesis, and therefore, patients should be asked to remove metallic objects wherever possible before performing the scan (Fig. 8).2,3,17,31

**Contamination**

Urinary contamination is a common problem, which may simulate focal lesions, especially if close to or overlying the bone. It is useful to remove the clothing or to wash the skin and reimage the patient around the region of interest to avoid any confusion. The patient should void before the study and rarely delayed imaging or bladder catheterization may be
required. Further, radioactive urine in the bladder is a frequent cause of artifact in patients evaluated with SPECT for pelvic metastases (prostate cancer) or low-back pain. Increased radioactive urine in the bladder can cause streak artifacts on the reconstructed images and overlap bony structures.3,42,43 Further, intense tracer retention in the bladder is reported to cause pixel overload, resulting in a relatively cold area close to the region of interest of the femoral heads with consequent difficulty in interpretation.3,42,43

Artifacts on SPECT-CT Images

SPECT-only artifacts and conventional CT–only artifacts are widely reported,44-53 but artifacts occurring from the combination of modified CT scan and SPECT are less well known.

The CT component of SPECT/CT can be used for both localization and for attenuation correction. Both require accurate coregistration between modalities but attenuation correction also relies upon the CT numbers being an accurate measure of the attenuation coefficient at a known CT energy. This premise fails where beam hardening changes the measured energy-dependent CT attenuation coefficient, where a low photon count leads to high noise in the CT number, and of course, where there are CT artifacts. Any change in the patient’s position, orientation, or physiological status between the CT scan and SPECT scan can lead to misregistration problems. Because conventional CT scanning protocols usually need to be modified for SPECT/CT use, there are further opportunities for the introduction of artifacts (Tables 3 and 4).

The most common SPECT/CT artifacts are discussed in further detail further in the text.

SPECT/CT Misregistration

Dedicated SPECT/CT systems can more accurately fuse the SPECT and CT images. However, exact coregistration can be lost either by poor calibration of the relative position of the modalities’ isocenters, or by a change in the isocenter due to couch movement or sagging, or by a change in the SPECT center of rotation, for example, with heavy high-energy collimators. Movement of or within the patient can also introduce misregistration. The patient movement may be de-

Figure 14 High attenuating material causes major streaking artifacts in CT. (A) Scout view of a patient showing highly attenuating metal hip and right shoulder joints. (B) Transaxial slice through the right shoulder-joint (shown by dotted line on the scout view) showing major streaking artifacts. (Color version of figure is available online.)

Figure 15 The patient size affects the degree of noise seen in the CT image. The following patient CT scans were all taken using the same acquisition parameters: (A) A slim male patient. (B) A patient weighing 163-kg showing a high level of noise. (C) A large woman with attenuation differences from side to side and front to back, leading to noise streaking. (Color version of figure is available online.)
scribed as voluntary or involuntary. Voluntary movements include deliberate or accidental movement of the patient’s position often occurring as the patient relaxes between the CT and the SPECT scan (Fig. 10) and can be minimized by good patient preparation before the scan, keeping the patient as comfortable and well supported as possible, and keeping scan times as short as possible. Involuntary movements relate to respiration (discussed further), cardiac motion, bowel movement, or a change in size and position of the bladder. It is at the boundaries of moving organs where the misregistration artifacts will be most apparent and disruptive (Fig. 11). Localization becomes confused and the misapplication of attenuation correction data may over- or undercorrect the SPECT data and so mimic the appearance of uptake defects or an underlying pathology.

Although modern SPECT/CT systems have software to manually move one dataset relative to the other, this is usually limited to a simple pixel shift applied to the entire dataset, which is not ideal and can introduce an additional level of uncertainty when interpreting the scan.

Respiration During SPECT/CT
Conventional CT scanning is fast enough to be performed during a single breath-hold, whereas a SPECT scan usually

---

Table 5 Common Causes of Extraosseous Uptake on a Bone Scan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organs</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Breast uptake| Diffuse: gynecomastia induced by hormonal therapy (prostate cancer), normal breast (females)  
               | Focal: benign and malignant conditions                                      |
| Cardiac uptake| Focal uptake: myocardial necrosis, unstable angina, myocardial contusion, ventricular aneurysm  
               | Diffuse uptake: amyloidosis, hypercalcemia, Adriamycin induced cardiotoxicity, alcoholic cardiomyopathy, pericardial tumors, pericarditis |
| Muscle uptake| Rhabdomyolysis: injury/trauma, excessive exertion, electric burns, renal failure, non-traumatic causes include cocaine/alcoholic intoxication, scleroderma, polymyositis, carcinomatosis myopathy, muscular dystrophy, dermatomyositis  
               | Heterotopic bone formation/myositis ossificans: Following direct trauma/paralysis, complicated hip arthroplasty, patients with burns |
| Renal uptake | Diffuse increased uptake: Following chemotherapy (vincristine, doxorubicin cyclophosphamide) nephrocalcinosis/hypercalcemia, iron overload, sickle cell disease, early stages of acute tubular necrosis, glomerulonephritis  
               | Focal increased uptake: normal or obstructed collecting systems (rarely in renal neoplasms)  
               | Decreased uptake/non-visualization: superscan (malignant and metabolic), nephrectomy  
               | Focal reduced uptake: cyst, partial nephrectomy, abscess, tumor, scarring |
| Pulmonary uptake| Radiation pneumonitis, postradiotherapy, malignant pleural effusion,  
               | hyperparathyroidism/hypocalcemia, rarely bronchogenic carcinoma and sarcoidosis, etc |
| Splenic uptake| Sickle cell disease, glucose-6-phosphatase deficiency, lymphoma, leukemia, thalassemia |
| Gastric uptake| Free pertechnetate, hypercalcemia (with metastatic calcification) |
| Bowel uptake | Surgical diversion, necrotising enterocolitis, ischemic bowel infarction, patient practicing urine therapy |
| Liver uptake  | Liver metastases, elevated aluminum ion breakthrough in 99mTc eluate, amyloidosis, hepatic necrosis |
| Tumor uptake | Neuroblastoma, lung tumors/metastases, breast tumors, sarcomas, etc |
| Ascites      | Malignancy |
| Superficial skin surface | Body folds in obese patients/hyperhydrosis |
| Arteries     | Calcification of major arteries (eg, femoral) |
| Brain        | Cerebral infarct |

---

Figure 16 Many of the installed SPECT/CT systems incorporate a nonstandard CT scanner, which do not have the same imaging capability of conventional stand-alone CT scanners. (Color version of figure is available online.)
lasts approximately 20 minutes. Acquiring the CT at just 1 specific phase of respiration leads to differences particularly in the appearance and position of the diaphragm and the periphery and base of the lungs and so creates a problem of local misregistration. If a patient continues with normal shallow respiration during the CT, there may be some additional CT movement-related artifacts around the diaphragm (Fig. 12), but the overall position and shape of the internal organs would better match that of the averaged respiration position of the SPECT scan.

Arms Up or Down?
In conventional CT scans, the arms are kept out of the field of view (FOV), either raised for body CT or down by the patient’s side for head and neck CT. If a patient has a CT with their arms in the scanned FOV then truncation artifacts, such as streaking may be seen due to the arms extending outside the reconstructed FOV. Streak artifacts may also be seen due to the increased photon absorption across the width of the arms and body (Fig. 13).

New iterative reconstruction techniques are becoming available to compensate for truncation of the arms or even the torso of larger patients. Although relatively successful, these do not currently recreate the missing data without some degree of error.

Highly Attenuating (Metal) Foreign Bodies or Contrast Agents
It is not uncommon to see metal pins, joints, or even fillings in patients undergoing bone SPECT/CT. The exact amount and distribution of the metal within the patient will determine how significant the image artifacts will be. Any highly attenuating material causes reconstruction problems in CT with low photon count areas of the projections, and associated higher noise, causing major streaking (Fig. 14) and an inaccurate attenuation coefficient measurement. Corrections can often be applied to reduce the appearance of such artifacts in CT, but these still fail to correctly represent the attenuation coefficient, which may be needed for the attenuation correction of the SPECT data.

Figure 17  Malignant pleural effusion: increased tracer uptake in the right lung in a patient with renal cancer.

Figure 18  Kidney: (A) Retention of tracer in the left kidney indicating obstruction, (B) dilated calyceal system bilaterally, (C) increased uptake in the kidneys following chemotherapy, and (D) increased uptake in the kidneys and spleen in a patient with sickle cell disease.
Patient Size and CT Noise

Low photon count leads to noise in each projection and this noise is amplified during reconstruction. Noise not only means errors in the CT number but also, through its reconstruction, can lead to a loss of visibility of smaller details. Any cause of noise, such as high patient attenuation or suboptimal CT acquisition parameters (too thin slices, too sharp reconstruction filter, or too low a current) will therefore lead to artifacts. SPECT/CT localization and SPECT/CT attenuation correction is usually performed with a much lower CT current than with conventional CT and so the likelihood and severity of noise is greater. The patient will also affect the degree of noise as larger patients mean higher overall attenuation (Fig. 15). The attenuation differences from side to side and front to back can again lead to noise streaking.

On modern CT scanners, it is possible to make use of automatic mA adjustment available to correctly adjust for patient size and anatomy.

Limitations of the CT Scanner

Many of the installed SPECT-CT systems incorporate a non-standard CT scanner, which does not have the same imaging capability of conventional stand-alone CT scanners. Their acquisition and reconstruction parameters are more limited, and generally produce CT images with a thicker slice (Fig. 16) acquired over a much longer time than for a modern multislice CT scanner. This can lead to more CT artifacts, such as movement-related artifacts, CT partial volume, or stair stepping in which the anatomy changes markedly in the craniocaudal direction. Thicker CT slices may better match the poorer resolution of the SPECT scan but the overall error in attenuation corrected SPECT/CT is a combination of the errors in the SPECT and CT data.
Artifacts, which produce or mimic increased tracer uptake or hotspots, can possibly be identified and investigated by viewing the (uncorrected) SPECT and CT images separately as well as the fused SPECT/CT images. However, artifacts that obscure the appearance of true defects may lead to a false-negative diagnosis.

Some of the artifacts discussed above can be minimized or partially removed with various software corrections supplied by manufacturers of individual SPECT/CT systems. However, the most important factors to consider in avoiding image artifacts are good patient preparation, careful patient positioning, and adequate support and comfort, and the optimum selection of scan protocol parameters.

**Extraosseus Uptake on Bone Scintigraphy**

A bone scan is used for evaluating the skeletal system; however, we often see tracer uptake in the soft tissues and recognition of such findings may be of diagnostic value in some cases. In general, the mechanisms of uptake in soft tissue are reported to be similar to those for bone. The reported mechanisms include (a) local tissue necrosis or damage leading to increased calcium deposition in the tissue, (b) hyperemia, (c) altered capillary permeability, (d) adsorption onto tissue calcium, (e) presence of iron deposits, and (f) binding to enzyme receptors or denatured proteins. In-arto tissue calcium, (e) presence of iron deposits, and (f) binding to enzyme receptors or denatured proteins.

Increased tracer uptake in the soft tissue is reported to occur in a variety of diseases (both local and systemic) Table 556-106 (Figs. 17-21).

**Conclusions**

Bone scintigraphy is one of the most common investigations performed in nuclear medicine and is used as a routine screening test for suspected bone metastases and in various benign musculoskeletal conditions.

To increase the specificity of bone scan interpretation it is necessary to have a knowledge of normal variants and patterns of abnormality to minimize misinterpretation.
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