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Executive Summary

Emory School of Medicine (ESOM) educational programs are widely known for producing superior clinical providers and outstanding scientists. When the MD program curriculum was last revised in 2007, Emory was among the first in the country to shorten the pre-clinical period, introduce early clinical exposure, implement a research block, develop a comprehensive service-learning curriculum, and prioritize our students’ health and wellness. These accomplishments have laid the foundation for the next phase of medical education at Emory. Now, we must ensure our curriculum is keeping up with the demands on clinicians and scientists to solve the health challenges we face today and in the future.

By reimagining curricula and co-curricular elements across all ESOM learners and all ESOM degree programs, the recommendations set forth in this report are intended to be bold and aim to accelerate ESOM’s path from excellence to eminence. Our goal is to graduate excellent clinicians and scientists who are capable of leading change across complex health systems and communities.

In the next iteration of ESOM education transformation, we envision our shared learning model will empower ESOM learners to be change agents capable of addressing evolving health challenges.

Aligned with the priority areas defined in the strategic planning phase, the following recommendations established the foundation for the ‘operating principles’ that will guide how we plan to achieve our goals.

- Forward-thinking Educational Programming…
  - Support learners by providing individualized learning and development based on their needs.
  - Teach learners how to work effectively within teams consisting of individuals from other professions, disciplines, and backgrounds.
  - Expose learners to new technology areas, artificial intelligence, and teach them how to integrate these technologies ethically and professionally.

- Program Evaluation…
  - Establish program evaluation guiding principles that are transparent and clearly described; to be shared across all programs.
  - Communicate ongoing course and curricular updates made in response to evaluations.

- Holistic Learner Success…
  - Center learner wellness as a core consideration by viewing all changes through the lens of improving overall wellbeing of learners.
  - Create explicit programming for professional development and wellness.

- Educational Experience and Instructional Delivery…
  - Deliver asynchronous didactic content.
  - Emphasize experiential learning through small group/peer-based teaching and problem-solving activities.

- Connectivity and Partnership…
  - Partner and align goals with healthcare partner organizations.
  - Develop a set of values to ensure education of learners through Interprofessional Education.
• Faculty, Staff, Resident Growth, Development, and Scholarship…
  o Create a Department/Center of Education to enable shared resources across all ESOM programs.
  o Ensure appropriate training of faculty, staff, and resident educators who teach students in any ESOM program.

Education Transformation

AT EMORY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE…
• Our foundational values are compassion, curiosity, humility, and professionalism.
• We are bold and transformational, not incremental.

In 2021, ESOM completed a medical education strategic planning process that focused on developing innovative and transformative educational models for all ESOM learners. Under the leadership of the Dean of the ESOM and the EAD for Medical Education, the process began with a series of visioning sessions that included nearly 120 education thought leaders. Following the strategic planning, the education transformation process launched in March 2022 with final recommendations completed in Summer 2023.

A top priority of the education strategic plan is to reimagine and transform the curricula for all our degree programs:

• Doctor of Medicine (MD)
• Doctor of Physical Therapy (DPT)
• Master of Medical Science Anesthesiology (MMSc)
• Master of Medical Science Genetic Counseling (MMSc)
• Master of Medical Science Physician Assistant (MMSc-PA)
• Bachelor of Medical Science Medical Imaging (BMSc)
In the strategic planning process, we defined a vision and goals for medical education and outlined six priority areas of focus that would later serve as the working group structure for the education transformation process:

- Faculty, Staff, Resident Growth, Development, and Scholarship
- Holistic Learner Success
- Forward-thinking Educational Programming
- Connectivity and Partnership
- Educational Experience and Instructional Delivery
- Program Evaluation

Guided by a diverse steering committee comprised of thought leaders and experts across health professions education, we charged our working groups with developing recommendations for the curricula and co-curricular elements to support our vision and goals. Through a series of retreats with the steering committee, we prioritized and ultimately leveraged recommendations as the basis for the ‘operating principles’ to guide the next phase of transformation work.

Maintaining a commitment to interprofessional education (IPE), we developed several specific examples of shared learning opportunities across a variety of environments. Additionally, we created a straw model for developing shared infrastructure in the form of a ‘center’ or ‘department’ of education to support all ESOM learners was also contemplated.
**Vision: What we aspire to**
- Our foundational values are compassion, curiosity, humility and professionalism.
- We are bold and transformational, not incremental.
- We will develop excellent clinicians and scientists and adapt to changing demands.
- Emory students and trainees will effectively contribute as members of a highly diverse community in different roles (e.g., advocates, team members, patients, and leaders).
- Emory learners will be inspired and inspiring as effective change agents for good.

**Goals: Desired evidence we are getting there**
- Challenge the status quo.
- Foster forward-thinking innovators.
- Empower problem solving and stimulate student passions.
- Encourage entrepreneurship.
- Promote compassion and social justice.
- Empower students to act as change agents.
- Engage with and be immersed in the Atlanta community.
- Commit to diversity, equity, and inclusion.

---

**Medical Education Transformation**  
*Steering Committee & Working Groups*

---

**Operating Principles: How we will get there**

- **Interprofessional Learning:** Increase interprofessional learning activities within didactic, clinical, and community setting.
- **Active Learning:** Primarily use active learning strategies such as case-based learning, small group learning, team-based learning, experiential learning, and patient-centered learning.
  - **Enhance Active Learning:** Curate and/or develop asynchronous content to support self-directed learning and interdisciplinary teaching and learning.
- **Self-directed, Lifelong Learning:** Foster a growth mindset in our learners, educators, and staff.
  - Use activities such as self-assessment of learning needs and identification of effective learning techniques and resources.
- **Development:** Ensure professional development and well-being of our learners, educators, and staff.

---

**Shared Infrastructure:** Create a “Department / Center for Education”
Key Next Steps

Actualizing the vision and principles outlined through the transformation process will require a hands-on team of engaged educators, staff, and learners, and an investment of resources. The financial model associated with supporting education transformation is estimated to cost $25-40M for the next five-year period. As the work continues to progress, ESOM remains committed to identifying and securing resources necessary to sustain continued transformation.

Over the upcoming fiscal year (FY24), ESOM has earmarked funding support for:

- Hiring an inaugural Senior Associate Dean for Education Transformation and Innovation
- Continued investment in the newly created Office of Student Success
- Additional funding support for engagement and development of our faculty educators
- Investments in information technology
- Administrative resources

Continued implementation planning efforts will need to continue over the coming months as broader communications regarding the recommendations in this report are established. At a minimum, though, additional key activities over the next six to twelve months will need to include:

1. **Aligning program calendars and curricula mapping – to achieve interprofessional learning experiences to the extent outlined within this report, our programs will first need to align program start dates. To accomplish this, our programs will need to identify where there are similarities and develop curriculum structures to advance IPE while also maintaining program-specific requirements and needs.**

2. **Identifying and adopting shared evaluation principles for all programs – developing guiding principles will facilitate evaluation processes that align with each program’s mission and the mission of the School.**

3. **Identifying, launching, and assessing outcomes of prototypes and pilots for shared learning experiences – develop and test content and delivery, leveraging examples of IPE experiences developed during this process, as well as other ideas and opportunities that are identified.**

4. **Developing a shared infrastructure plan – articulating and securing the resource needs required to support all programs at ESOM. One concept that was proposed is the creation of a shared ‘Department/Center for Education.’ This concept will continue to evolve as the plan develops.**

5. **Adapting the curricula for the implications of new technologies (i.e. artificial intelligence) in health professions education – developing a shared approach to addressing the presence of artificial intelligence in teaching, patient care, research, and professional development will be critical in the next phase of work.**
Introduction

Why Education Transformation?

Our educational programs are widely known for producing superior clinical providers and outstanding scientists, yet with increasing demands on our clinicians and scientists to solve the health challenges we face today and those unknown to us in the future, we must ensure our curricula are keeping up. We must prepare our learners for the future and equip them with the knowledge, skills, and attitudes to adapt to the ever-changing demands within our healthcare and education spaces. Several factors contributing to education transformation include:

- A need for a 21st century healthcare workforce.
- Evolving care models that will require team-based, interdisciplinary focus.
- Mental health and wellness support needed for learners due to:
  - Pressures to achieve high board scores
  - Demanding schedules
  - Rising student debt
- Equipping our graduates with emerging competencies such as:
  - Addressing public health issues
  - Designing and continuously improving health care systems
  - Incorporating data and technology in service to patient care, research, education
  - Reducing healthcare disparities and discrimination in medicine
- Learner requests for change and transformation of their educational experiences.

Structure and Steering Committee

The Education Transformation Steering Committee, a group of individuals comprised of program leads from each of our degree programs, working group leads, representatives from the SOM Office of Equity and Inclusion, School of Medicine IT, Graduate Medical Education leadership, and our health care partners, launched the initial phase of the Education Transformation process in March 2022. The diverse group of thought leaders and experts across health professions education met regularly to guide the process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steering Committee Composition and Representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Degree Programs</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Working Groups</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Technology</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DEI</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EHC Administration</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Steering Committee Members

- Vikas Sukhatme, Dean
- Carlos del Rio, Interim Dean
- Bill Eley, EAD
- Jada Bussey-Jones (Co-Chair)
- Eric Sundberg (Co-Chair)
- Marilane Bond
- Ulemu Luhanga
- Hugh A. Stoddard
- Douglas Ander
- Katherine S. Monroe
- Cecelia Bellcross
- Lauren Lichten
- George Fulk
- Erica Brownfield
- Ted Brzinski
- Maha B. Lund
- Tami Phillips
- Maria Aaron
- Philip Shayne
- Holly Gooding
- Jaimie Keough
- Sheryl Heron

- Marc Overcash
- Chad Ritenour
- Sharon Pappas
- Hughes Evans
- Jennifer Spicer
- Karen Law
- Jose M. Villalon-Gomez
- DeJuan T. White
- Martha Ward
- Richard Pittman
- Jeremy Amayo
- Gina Shannon
- Stacie Schmidt
- Henry Blumberg
- Yolanda Hood
- Nate Spell
- Linda Lewin
- Christen Hairston
- Heather Bonilha
- Rachel Sedlack-Prittie
- Pearson Smith

---

### Process Overview and Timeline

**Strategic Planning**  
2019 – 2021

**Define Direction**  
March – June 2022

**Develop Plan/Recommendations to Support Ideal Learners**  
July 2022 – February 2023

**Consolidate and Finalize**  
March – August 2023

- **Education Vision, Goals, Measures of Success Framework**
  - Education / Level-Setting - Scope
  - Define Ideal Learners Development - KSA Activity
  - User needs and challenges - Stakeholder Input

- **Recommendations supporting ideal learners' development**
  - What?
  - How?
  - Who?

- **Working Groups wrap up**
- **Consolidate and Synthesize Recommendations**
- **Program Implications**
- **Implementation Considerations**
Foundational Phase – Defining the Direction and Developing a Shared Understanding

Following the initial strategic planning, the purpose of the foundational phase was to define the direction of the education transformation process as well as to develop a shared understanding of what the education transformation aimed to achieve. The steering committee, comprised of a diverse group of stakeholders from across the Emory enterprise, had discussions over several meetings aiming to align ESOM values and needs.

Objectives of the Foundational Phase

Education / Level-Setting across ESOM

- Stakeholder Mapping – provided an understanding of the various stakeholders within the education transformation ecosystem; ensured working groups were populated with the appropriate stakeholders related to their topics and subtopics to successfully approach their work.
- ESOM Degree Program Presentations – provided an overview of each degree program including general facts, post-graduation highlights, milestones, existing opportunities and challenges, and desired outcomes aiming to be achieved through this process. Additionally, shared competency domains across programs were identified through a questionnaire provided to program directors.

Define Ideal Learners Development and Education Transformation Vision

- KSA Mapping Activity – leveraged community feedback regarding skills and perspectives of the ideal ESOM graduate as a foundation. These knowledge, skills, and attitude (“KSA”) were then mapped to the strategic goals of the Education Strategic Framework. Through this exercise, most frequently referenced KSA’s were identified; providing working groups with choices on what areas to focus on to achieve desired outcomes.
- Education Transformation Visual – encapsulated what we aim to achieve through the education transformation process.

Understand User Needs and Challenges

- Continuous Stakeholder Input – ESOM community input was gathered from faculty, staff, learners regarding perceived challenges and opportunities. This raw data was sorted into high level themes to better understand the problems that need to be tackled in this process. Additionally, program presentations provided targeted perspectives on programmatic user needs and challenges.
- Periodic, focused engagement sessions will continue to be an integral component throughout this process to collect stakeholder voices along the way. Other sources of stakeholder input were collected and considered on an ongoing basis.

Objective 1: Education / Level-Setting across ESOM

One of the initial activities for the steering committee was to confirm and document the scope of the education transformation work.

Stakeholder Mapping

With the support of external facilitators from a design-thinking specialist team, steering committee members worked in pairs to complete a stakeholder map consisting of what their perceived primary, secondary, and tertiary stakeholders were within the education transformation ecosystem. This was referenced throughout the process and was updated based on additional knowledge gained throughout the process.
ESOM Degree Program Presentations

From the onset of the education transformation process, the goal was always to envision curricula that would be highly interprofessional and inclusive of all ESOM learners. To promote a shared understanding of the breadth of our educational programs, all program directors were asked to provide a brief presentation on their degree program, including:

- General program facts (i.e., # of faculty, staff, learners, academic calendar, accreditation summary)
- Program highlights (i.e., post-graduation facts, clinical rotation facts, program milestones)
- Program landscape (i.e., in-state/national trends) and perceived challenges and opportunities from faculty, staff, learner viewpoints
- Desired outcomes for education transformation
Following program presentations, the steering committee recognized intersectional areas of opportunity for potential shared learning. It was identified that each program’s core curricula could be mapped to eight competency domains of health education programs developed by Robert Englander, MD, MPH and colleagues at the Association of American Medical Colleges in 2013.

As part of the Education Transformation process, program leads on the steering committee completed a questionnaire to assess the fit of 8 competency domains of health education programs. The purpose was to establish a common taxonomy of competency domains for all health professions programs at ESOM. The results showed that all programs identified all 8 competency domains as important to their curricula.

Results from the Questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core Competency Domains</th>
<th>Anesthesiologist Assistant</th>
<th>Genetic Counselling</th>
<th>Medical Imaging</th>
<th>Physician Assistant</th>
<th>Physical Therapy*</th>
<th>Medical Doctor</th>
<th>Graduate Medical Education</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient Care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provide patient-centered care that is compassionate, appropriate, and effective for the treatment of health problems and the promotion of health.</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge for Practice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Demonstrate knowledge of established and evolving biomedical, clinical, epidemiological, and social-behavioral sciences, as well as the application of this knowledge to patient care.</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal &amp; Communication Skills</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Demonstrate interpersonal and communication skills that result in the effective exchange of information and collaboration with patients, their families, and health professionals.</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professionalism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Demonstrate a commitment to carrying out professional responsibilities and an adherence to ethical principles.</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice-Based Learning &amp; Improvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Demonstrate the ability to investigate and evaluate one’s care of patients, to appraise and assimilate scientific evidence, and to continuously improve patient care based on constant self-evaluation and life-long learning.</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems-Based Practice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Demonstrate an awareness of and responsiveness to the larger context and system of health care, as well as the ability to call effectively on other resources in the system to provide optimal health care.</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interprofessional Collaboration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Demonstrate the ability to engage in an interprofessional team in a manner that optimizes safe, effective patient- and population-centered care.</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal &amp; Professional Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Demonstrate the qualities required to sustain lifelong personal and professional growth.</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Additional competency domain for Physical Therapy: “Teaching and Learning: Inclusive of patients, families, colleagues, etc.”
**Objective 2: Define Ideal Learners Development and Education Transformation Vision**

Early in the process, the broader ESOM community was asked to provide input and feedback regarding the skills and perspectives of the ideal ESOM graduate. In small group discussions, steering committee members leveraged community generated KSA’s and mapped these to the goals of the education strategic framework. Through this exercise, the most frequently referenced KSA’s were identified, providing working groups with choices on what areas to focus on to achieve desired outcomes.

**MAPPING COMMUNITY-GENERATED KSAs to OUR GOALS**

Upon graduating, the **ideal learners/trainees** will be equipped with the following skills and perspectives:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>More frequently referenced</th>
<th>Less frequently referenced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Humility</td>
<td>Patient-Centered Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
<td>Empathy and Compassion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comfortable in the Unknown</td>
<td>Inclusive Mindset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Awareness</td>
<td>Accountability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology Skills</td>
<td>Understanding of Pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration/Teamwork</td>
<td>Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curiosity</td>
<td>Mentorship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Thinking</td>
<td>Be an Advocate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethical</td>
<td>Knowledge for Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Scholarship</td>
<td>Professional Etiquette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positivity</td>
<td>Self-Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching</td>
<td>Holistic Knowledge of the Human Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical Reasoning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resilience</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ESOM LEARNERS are **excellent clinicians, scientists, and scholars** who are effective **change agents**

**Objective 3: Understanding User Needs and Challenges**

Community input was requested frequently throughout the transformation process. An input form was circulated among the ESOM community to better understand thoughts around what the ideal graduate may look like as well as perceived challenges and opportunities to developing and training these ideal graduates. This data was sorted into high level themes and color coded by applicable stakeholder group.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Themes</th>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>Opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Learner Support</strong></td>
<td>- Lack of learner specialists to assist struggling learners</td>
<td>- Empower students to speak up with ethical concerns; Create a role like an “ethics ombudsman” to serve as a resource for addressing ethical issues in real time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity to enhance learner support</td>
<td>- Lack of action/prioritization around mental health</td>
<td>- More mental health and wellness support, psychological services, wellness center access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Lack of timely communication on expectations and required activities</td>
<td>- Addition of learning specialists/academic counselors or advisors and tutoring services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Need a proactive approach to prevent failures rather than reactive (early recognition)</td>
<td>- Improved mechanisms to place discovery students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Lack of transparency of progress – no feedback on exam performance to improve for board exams</td>
<td>- Expand career mentoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Physician mentors (outside small group advisors)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Prioritized residency parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Enhance trainee support (compensation and parental leave policies); Appropriate employment benefits (paid time off during 1st year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- More support and resources for student innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Creation of a “mentor” or “navigator” role for students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Educator Support</strong></td>
<td>- Lack of support for educators and time to create educational materials</td>
<td>- Sharing of innovations among educators within and across departments and schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved infrastructure for educators</td>
<td>- Need to determine which faculty should be responsible for education (based on needs of programs, types of patients/cases to be seen)</td>
<td>- More collaboration between departments to support best teaching practices for clerkships, structure, and content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Creation of a database of potential educators interested in teaching (non-Emory and Emory grads) in various specialties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Instructional Delivery</strong></td>
<td>- Need to incorporate new learner-driven educational techniques and interactive strategies</td>
<td>- Enhanced visual and interactive learning techniques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety in instructional delivery</td>
<td>- Lack of interdisciplinary teaching</td>
<td>- Improved utilization of modern technology and modern thinking on how to teach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Lack of modeling interdisciplinary teamwork over spectrum of care</td>
<td>- Incorporate utilization of gold standard resources to support learning (World, Amboss, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Poor utilization of labs for HPP students</td>
<td>- Intermix lectures &amp; clinical activities; Utilize a range of methods and technology to deliver content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Need more problem-based learning</td>
<td>- Utilize technology to improve student experience and allow multiple options for learning (on demand lectures, traditional reading materials online, virtual classrooms, simulation, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Develop opportunities for self-directed or individualized learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Themes</td>
<td>Challenges</td>
<td>Opportunities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Programming/ Curriculum Evolving our programming & curriculum** | - Not engaging learners as partners  
- Lack of innovation in didactic and clinical education  
- Need education on substance abuse disorders and pain management  
- Disjointed units, too much variety of educators, too high level, too much content, units don’t build on background knowledge  
- Pass/Fail has no clear objective data  
- Need to focus on competency development (too stuck on artificial time limits – need to flexible with remedial time/time off/accelerated/extra time)  
- Need more clinical/patient interaction  
- Lecture content in years 1 and 2 can be found elsewhere  
- Lack of appropriate preparation for STEP  
- Lack of resources impacts education and assessment of students/programs  
- Need to define where education will occur and what residents/fellows will be responsible for  
- Residents/fellows need more elective opportunities to develop careers  
- Lack of priority for HPP clinical rotation placement | - Co-creation and working collaboratively with learners  
- Directly naming how bias and prejudice impact our patients’ care, both inside and outside the hospital, as cases are taught  
- Integrate/sharing curriculum across degree programs  
- Physician advocacy training in preclinical years; Involvement in community organizations early to better support decision-making of specialty, practice model and location later in their career  
- Improved sequencing of content during classroom years  
- A dedicated palliative care curriculum interwoven into the first 2 years and/or a required palliative care elective  
- Common training for multiple disciplines within UME  
- Primary care track; Development of sub tracks  
- Shorter psych rotation, longer neuro rotation  
- Longitudinal curriculum for point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) in the medical school  
- More elective based options for non-traditional learners  
- Expand Discovery phase beyond research to accommodate the variety of learner interests  
- Structured STEP preparation; Block exams with step style questions  
- Educational programming as a strategic asset for promoting institutional patient safety agenda  
- Multidisciplinary GME training (patient safety, wellness, leadership, change management)  
- In vivo simulation training opportunities (within hospital sites)  
- Incorporate team based training  
- Develop strategies to improve clinical rotation placements for HPP programs |
| **Experience Enhancing the educational experience** | - Need flexibility for summer research opportunities (between M1/M2) at other instructions/organizations (4th year discovery is too late to build application for residency).  
- Need more opportunities to work in the community  
- HPP programs seen as second priority | - A break between M1 and M2  
- More heavily involved educators and students of years above who have completed modules to review content of lectures to make sure appropriately sequenced, scoped and level  
- Opportunities to earn money/tuition support (preclinical- pick up shifts to do basic patient care/shadowing nurses for interprofessional education)  
- Educational scholarship funding that is education-related and across specialties |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Themes</th>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>Opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|                               | - Need alignment of goals for GME with hospital partners; need similar expectations across the enterprise    | - Foster collaboration with industry partners – exposure to processes at corporate and government institutions that support organizational excellence  
- Improved relationships with clinical partners to place students with stronger clinical rotations  
- Regular communication among partner hospital leaders and GME  
- Shared resources for trainees across partner hospitals (EPIC training, patient safety, reporting systems)  
- Standardization of administration requirements across hospitals (ID badge access, etc.) |
| Partnerships/Connectivity     |                                                                                                              |                                                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Expansion of partnerships & enhanced connectivity |                                                                                                              |                                                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|                               |                                                                                                              |                                                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Accountability                | - Lack of accountability and standards for educators  
- Need transparent teaching metrics assessing quality of instruction  
- Need to incentivize outpatient preceptors (directly impacts the quality of preceptors)  
- Lack of system wide strategies to incentivize clinical teaching  
- Lack of accountability on leadership/program directors to address learners who are not meeting standards | - Incentivized educational delivery, education work/value units (RVUs/EVUs)                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Themes</th>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>Opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Space*</td>
<td>- Need shared workspace encouraging collaboration across degree programs</td>
<td>- Design interactive educational buildings (small group/collaboration space, clinical research lab, teaching kitchen, simulation facility, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Inadequate space and amenities for HPP programs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical space that foster intellectual curiosity and collaboration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Allocation*</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Protected time for educators to develop educational materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Provide support for residents/fellows to be educators (time/incentives)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource considerations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommendation Phase – Developing a Plan to Support Ideal Learners

Launching the Working Groups

Six working groups launched in the first and second phases of the transformation process. The working groups individually convened to develop a set of recommendations pertaining to their unique topics of focus. During these subsequent phases, the steering committee met monthly to oversee progress and provide feedback on working group findings. Below are the high-level charge and final recommendations from each of the six working groups. Full working group membership is included in the appendix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forward-Thinking Educational Programming</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co Leads: Hughes Evans &amp; Jennifer Spicer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**High-Level Charge:**

- Articulate high priority focus areas for new and modified educational programming that supports creativity and innovation; Identify resources needed to advance innovation & development within those high priority areas going forward.
- Outline opportunities of how interprofessional education & collaborative practice can be a driving force behind all education programming.
- Ensure quality & patient safety, principles of innovation, design-thinking, etc. are embedded in the continuum of learner development.

[Recommendations continue in the document]
managers, database software, etc.). Moreover, newer forms of technology, like artificial intelligence and large interconnected databases, are becoming ubiquitous in health care, yet health professionals have limited understanding of their utility and advantages/disadvantages. Therefore, we believe that health professionals should have some exposure to these areas and learn how they can harness technology to deliver more effective and efficient patient care at the individual and population level.

Program Evaluation
Co Leads: Karen Law & Jose Villalon-Gomez

**High-Level Charge:**
- Adopt an evaluation framework
- Define the processes and procedures to support program specific evaluation and evaluation of overall education Transformation components
- Design the structure, processes, and practices to continuously improve the quality of education programs in the SOM and enhance effective monitoring of education programs’ compliance with accreditation standards and in pursuit of desired learner outcomes

**Recommendations:**
1. Evaluations measure both traditional quantitative metrics and metrics on guiding principles. Employment of Guiding Principles allows a values-informed measurement of aspirational outcomes. Guiding Principles will facilitate evaluations processes that align with each program’s mission and the mission of the School.
2. Principles of evaluation should be transparent, clearly described, and easily accessible. Principles of education evaluations should be posted for all stakeholders to review. New program/curricula leaders should review the evaluations principles and design evaluations alongside curriculum design. Use of a central, universal evaluations platform would facilitate data sharing, collaboration, and feedback between programs.
3. Programs and curricula should establish a timetable for evaluation reviews. A timetable for evaluations reviews should be set in advance to facilitate continuous improvement. The timetable will assist with ensuring evaluations review new and high-priority initiatives and limiting over-surveying through advance planning and component mapping.
4. Programs and curricula should regularly communicate updates made in response to evaluations. Evaluations should yield relevant data that is reviewed regularly and acted upon. Ongoing courses should communicate the ways in which the course was updated based on previous evaluations. Ongoing courses should regularly communicate any educational innovation efforts.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Holistic Learner Success</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co Leads: Martha Ward &amp; DeJuan White</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**High-Level Charge:**
- Outline a coherent and effective learner support system, from recruitment and admissions through graduation, which enhances the educational experience and contributes to holistic learner success
- Identify and articulate structures and processes required to foster a culture that is collaborative, supportive, inclusive, and compassionate
- Develop structures and processes in which learners have opportunities to raise concerns and provide feedback without fear of intimidation or retaliation
- Identify ways to support learner connectedness and community building

**Recommendations:**

1. Center learner wellness as a core consideration. All changes to curriculum should be viewed through the lens of improving overall wellbeing of learners. Often changes to one domain of the educational experience lead to a negative offset elsewhere. By focusing on learner wellness as a priority, we hope that the negative impact of change may be minimized.
   a. Improve learner ability to engage in mental health care. Access to low cost (or no charge), insight-oriented therapy should be widely available. This may entail buying out of increased hours from private practice therapists.
   b. Enact a zero-tolerance policy of student mistreatment. Learners and faculty must have a clear and anonymous method for reporting mistreatment. Lack of transparency in the process of addressing events of mistreatment may also result in lack of confidence in the institution and divert attention from learning. A standard algorithm for addressing such events may improve trust and instill a sense of safety.
   c. DEI should be more than a curriculum. The SOM should seek to expand diversity of representation at all levels of faculty, both in the classroom and clinical sites. Case discussions, test questions, and other curricular material should be reviewed with a lens toward increasing representation of diverse backgrounds.
   d. Create psychologically safe spaces for learners. Currently, there is insufficient space for all of the various groups of learners to meet and study within the SOM. Non-MD program learners have cited that they feel that preference is given to MD program learners (mainly small groups) in using space. This should be investigated and rectified.
   e. Support personal development of learners. Curriculum should emphasize diverse opportunities for experiencing joy in practice. Learners within the school of medicine are selected based on a series of criteria honoring the unique aspects of themselves and leading them to attain acceptance to the SOM programs. Expanding opportunities for individualized curriculum, career counseling, and formal mentorship programs can assist allowing unique abilities to blossom.

2. Focus on improving the wellness of educators. Feedback obtained from learners and educators alike note that improvement in the wellness of educators can in turn lead to a better experience for learners. Educators must be given protected time to mentor, teach, and develop teaching materials.

3. Create a proactive, individualized learning center. A centralized resource for learning should be established. This may include learning specialists, tutors, study groups, and academic counseling.
Such a center should recognize and prepare learners for internal and external measures of academic success, including board exams.

### Educational Experience and Instructional Delivery
**Co Leads: Jeremy Amayo, Richard Pittman, & Gina Shannon**

#### High-Level Charge:
- Propose experiences on how to achieve curricular outcomes that includes a rich variety of experiences in our community, classrooms, and healthcare sites
- Suggest team-based care models and interprofessional practices in educational experiences to ensure learners understand the importance of teams to patient safety and patient-centered care

#### Recommendations:
1. Provide students with clear objectives for all learning activities. We must ensure that students know exactly what they are expected to learn and at what level of detail/mastery.
2. Emphasize experiential learning through small group/peer-based teaching and problem-solving activities. These situations encourage active learning in a team setting, that nudge learners to embrace ambiguity and different perspectives. Some programs are doing this well already. These positive examples need to be studied, modeled, and replicated across our programs.
3. Foster ongoing learning in an interprofessional setting by utilizing dedicated, trained interprofessional faculty. This recommendation extends the experiential, team-based learning concept from a “small group” of same discipline learners to a “medium-sized” group that includes learners across disciplines whose perspectives while add real-life flavor and context to patient-based learning.
4. Asynchronous delivery of didactic content (pre-readings, lectures, etc.). Identify core content that learners must have as a starting point and make it available for learners to consume during independent study hours. Existing high-quality content can be curated, limiting the need for novel creation. This will free up classroom time for problem-solving and deeper/nuanced thinking.
5. Prior knowledge checks to encourage pre-work and focus instructional time. These create an incentive for learners to interact with material before a learning activity. Learners have limited ability to apply material without prior exposure and study. Additionally, teachers can review trends of check responses to prioritize instructions towards areas of confusion or struggle.
6. Careful stewardship of in-person or virtual contact hours to apply foundational material. If core didactic content is provided asynchronously for learners to consume, arriving at required class time prepared with prior knowledge checks, then time can be spent applying knowledge rather than offering a first exposure to content. For example, in a nephrology section, learners having already studied glomerular cell types and key functions could spend class time discussing how medications or dysfunction of specific cells would affect glomerular functioning. This could be presented as cased-based examples students work through.
7. Formative assessments for specific, timely, and actionable feedback as opposed to just an “A”. Formative assessments can serve as prior knowledge checks, described above, post-session checks, or pre-examination study guides. These lower stakes tools assist both teacher and learners know “if they got it” or if more study or instruction is required. Using assessment as feedback with clear actionable steps adds to the ongoing learning process, rather than a final high-stake grade result.
Additionally, a global deemphasis on test scores would enable students to focus less on a quantitative percentage grade (focused on performance) and consider their competency more qualitatively (focused on learning).

8. Restructure summative assessments to add evaluation of nonlinear thinking. Instead of focusing on the black/white correct answer with MCQs, consider the addition of other forms of assessment that evaluate students’ clinical reasoning, skills, and attitudes. Some examples include open-ended written questions, oral examinations, direct observation, or simulation.

9. Explicit instruction on how to learn. Provide students with direct instruction on evidence-based learning strategies and metacognitive skills.

### Connectivity and Partnerships

**Co Leads:** Henry Blumberg, Yolanda Hood, & Stacie Schmidt

**High-Level Charge:**

- Identify areas of opportunity to lead interprofessional education initiatives on collaborative practice with key partners
- Find synergies and make contributions through partnerships that support creativity and innovation such as engineering organizations, local businesses, public health nonprofits, healthcare professionals, and community organizations

**Recommendations:**

1. Inclusion of community leaders and Community-based organizations (CBOs) as stakeholders to co-develop community-facing interprofessional curricula that support community engagement to solve real-time health issues. Community leaders and/or CBOs must be recognized as part of any community-facing IPE core initiatives, and if they make substantial contributions to learners’ education should be compensated for their time and efforts. Additionally, community leaders and/or CBOs should participate in curricula development.

2. Community engagement efforts from all Emory schools should be taken into consideration; we must be aware of where “Emory” is “working with community” across the University, because community partners do not always distinguish the health professional programs from other Emory entities.

3. Create an Office/Center for Community Engagement and Interprofessional Education in the SOM (and/or expand upon the current Office of Interprofessional Education and Collaborative Practice) dedicated to the training and education of faculty and learners on IPE dedicated towards addressing health inequities through collaborations and enhanced relationships with key local/state organizations and community stakeholders.

4. Aim to achieve Interprofessional Education that develops a set of values to ensure education of learners around engaging external partnerships under principles of mutual benefit and equity that avoids exploitative practices, and that ensures health inequities are addressed using a human rights-based approach (HRBA) that teaches methods for promoting community empowerment and societal mobilization addressing structural racism, denial of access to care, poverty, and other issues affecting social determinants of health.

5. Recognize that interprofessional education must bridge MULTIPLE sectors to effect true societal change.
| Faculty, Resident, Staff Growth, Development, and Scholarship  
**Co Leads: Linda Lewin & Nate Spell**  
**High-Level Charge:**  
- Outline the elements required for a comprehensive educator development program that facilitates the development of skills in the domains of teaching, instructional design, curriculum development, learner assessment, educational scholarship, leadership, and personal/professional development  
- Articulate the skills, competencies, and experience types required to support the forward-thinking educational programming recommendations (e.g., design thinking/retrograde design, change management, entrepreneurship, and leadership).  

| Recommendations:  
1. Establish an academic Department of Health Professions Education to serve as a home for professional educators and educational researchers and provide secondary appointments to those with significant educational responsibilities. The charge of this Department of Education would include 1) ensuring appropriate training of faculty, staff, and resident educators who teach students in any of the health professions programs; 2) supporting career development of faculty, staff, and trainee educators who wish to focus their careers on education; and 3) promoting and coordinating the education research portfolio.  
2. Provide adequate resources to accomplish all goals by reviewing the current administrative functions of all the health professions programs and rolling them into the new department, as well as identifying additional resources such as PhD educators, instructional designers, necessary software and technical support and others needed to support all departmental functions.  
3. Establish an educator fellowship program to formally train current faculty to become expert educators and to utilize those skills in support of innovation in the degree programs, growing the cadre of available resources. Work toward developing this into a master’s degree program administered out of the department described above.  
4. Establish a formal recognitions program for educators based on criteria that include self-development, service, and attainment of excellence. This program would parallel the academic promotion pathway but not supplant it. It would be peer-reviewed and could be administered by the above department in partnership with the Woodruff Health Educators Academy (WHEA).  
5. Foster a sense of community among educators at all levels of health professions education. This community of practice could be built on the foundation of the Woodruff Health Educators Academy, the MD program small group advisors, the existing programs’ curriculum committees and others.  
6. Support the community through focused mentoring for educators, joint activities across programs, celebrations of educational excellence, and presentation of educational research at scientific meetings and peer-reviewed journals. |
Consolidation Phase

After the working groups made their final recommendations, the Steering Committee and other key program representatives participated in two retreats with distinct objectives.

Retreat 1

Objective: To identify where there are opportunities for shared learning experiences and/or opportunities for shared resources across all ESOM programs.

The takeaways below represent the common themes prioritized by the participants at the first retreat. This served as the basis for establishing the operating principles.

- Program evaluation guiding principles that are transparent and clearly described; ideally these guiding principles are shared across all programs
- Asynchronous delivery of didactic content
- Emphasize experiential learning through small group/peer-based teaching and problem-solving activities
- Interprofessional Education that develops a set of values to ensure education of learners
- Partnership and alignment of goals with healthcare partner organizations
- Center learner wellness as a core consideration; create explicit programming for professional development and wellness
- Individualized learning/development of learners based on their needs
- Creation of a Department/Center of Health Professions Education

Retreat 2

Objective: To generate ideas and examples of models of interprofessional experiences within clinical, community, didactic, and simulation settings.

Below are examples of interprofessional education experience ideas created during the retreat. Pictures and copies of all the submissions from the retreat are included in the appendix.

Clinical
- Interdisciplinary Clinical Rounds: Involve any or all programs in clinical rounds to learn about the roles and responsibilities of all professions. This experience would foster collaboration among disciplines and allow learners to engage with other personnel in the clinical setting such as clinical managers.
- Interprofessional Grand Rounds: Prior to Grand Rounds, learners will learn about the specific condition (genetic) and consider how to provide care. In a large group room, learners will communicate with other professionals and explain their unique care aspects and discuss interactively a problem unique to the patient. Learners will develop an outline for their area of practice and then come together to create a shared infographic or handout for patient education.

Room/Virtual/Lab
- Managing Conflict Between Health Care Professionals: Scenarios will be developed prior to the simulation by faculty who will then serve as facilitators. The scenarios will involve learners from
any profession engaging in situations requiring conflict management techniques to care for a patient. These scenarios will allow learners to practice professionalism and develop advanced communication skills.

Simulation
  o IP Escape Room: The goal is to identify unique strengths of each discipline and utilize those strengths to solve a problem. The escape room would involve several different rooms with various questions or problems that pertain to one overall case. In order to move on to the next room, the learners will have to solve the problem. This could involve learners from multiple professions.

Community
  o Together, the ideas below represent one concept in which students decide if they want to participate in either urban or rural settings experiences.
    o Rural – Emory Farmworker’s Project (EFP) Expansion: The expansion of the existing EFP would involve increasing the number of learners, faculty, and interpreters. This would be required of learners and faculty and would have both classroom and clinical components. An example of this structure is a team spending the morning engaging with the farmworkers as a team and then coming together in a classroom setting in the afternoon to debrief and reflect.
    o Urban Initiative: Similar to the EFP, this experience would be required of faculty and learners and allow them to engage in both clinical and classroom time. Learners and faculty would spend half a day in a clinic setting and the other half in a virtual or in person classroom setting.
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Appendix 2: Retreat 2 Recommendations

Retreat 2 Interprofessional Education Experience Idea Submissions

- Problem patient lab
  - Include students from all programs
  - Attend with a group to discuss cases weekly
  - Do a certain number of cases a semester
  - Write thoughts & concerns
  - Shared problem solving

- Longitudinal tracks (area of passion)
  - Minors: Public Health, Education, Community Service, Disparities
  - Create classes/hrg across schools (Business, Law)

- Anatomy lab
  - Learning salon
  - All props learn together (teach their specialty)
  - Include imaging too

- Case presentations / TBL / PBL
  - From all viewpoints
  - Call for a student “Consult”
  - Discuss these cases together

- Senior students teaching
  - Partnering
  - Share own stories - could be done within groups then work together

- Combined experience - strong connection. All programs work together for the same project.

- Measure success of these activities: survey before training & after - what do you think about each profession?
Longitudinal

- relationship w/practitioner
- follow pts throughout care
- IT debrief/as team
- Observe/follow inpatient/outpatient transition
- Follow a topic through clinic CDEs, clinical data systems

Student to student training

Shadow other MCvs & team members

Understand patient experience

- stay with 1 patient through clinic & visits
- help pts navigate financial programs
- visit legal aid, finance office
- home visits

Create work study jobs where students are in clinic (scribe?)

Show EHC how education mission improves pt satisfaction

Carlos, Ence, Joe, Jen.
RURAL - EFP Expansion

July

Team 1
- Debrief
- Team Building
- Curriculum
- Preceptors & Learners
- Reflections
- Wellness
- Peer teaching

Team 2
- Debrief
- Team Building
- Curriculum
- Preceptors & Learners
- Reflections
- Wellness
- Peer teaching

Advocacy, Research, innovative healthcare model & record system

IT innovation
- Longitudinal - summer fall, monthly transition, action planning, training, QI

Mobile unit

IT faculty
PhD, Med, RN, PT, OT, SLP, Behavior, Speech, CTRS, MTA

Learners & Faculty
Required curriculum + time for faculty & students = Core in Curriculum

Urban Initiative

July

Am

Classroom (virtual or in-person)

pm

Curriculum or Classroom

peer-teaching, Debrief, Team-building, Curriculum reflection, preceptors & learners together, wellness

Longitudinal -

monthly clinics, weekend clinics, in-situ training, QI.

cpe PT, Ph, med, son, ResH, Bi, Med, Law, Gen, GA Tech, GC Faculty
IPE Escape Room:
A case of Malignant Hyperthermia

Goals: Identify unique strengths of each discipline
Utilize strengths to solve a problem together

Room 1: Clinic
Room 2: Pre-op
Room 3: Op Room
Room 4: PACU
Room 5: Post-op
Room 6: Patient at home

Patient found to have malignant hyperthermia

Which images do we need?

Patient has difficulty accepting services, what will help?
**ORIENTATION**
- Team building (in person)
- Introductions - Role
- Overview
  - # Sessions
  - Fun Activity

**Asyncronous Didactic Case Prep**
- Content & Expert Introduce
  - Students Asynchronously
    - Learn/Study material

**DEBRIEF**
- How-to - CASE Model
  - What to do
  - What NOT to do

**ROOM/VIRTUAL**
Expand Farworker Project

- IPE interactions & pt needs/support they have (plan)
- International service learning/areas of conflict
- Interpret interventions of pts—what expect from healthcare
- Interpret education & pts—condition
- Community Risk Assessment
- Educate community on role
- Partner with public schools
- Established FAHC & insert interpret learners

IPE Strategic Action Project

IPE interactions & population need/environmental health
- Volunteer expectations & structure
- Hospital at Home/Home Health